arXiv:1205.1911v1 [hep-th] 9 May 2012

Noncommutative gauge fields coupled to noncommutative gravity

Paolo Aschieri and **Leonardo Castellani**

Dipartimento di Scienze e Innovazione Tecnologica
INFN Gruppo collegato di Alessandria,
Università del Piemonte Orientale,
Viale T. Michel 11, 15121 Alessandria, Italy

Abstract

We present a noncommutative (NC) version of the action for vielbein gravity coupled to gauge fields. Noncommutativity is encoded in a twisted $\star$-product between forms, with a set of commuting background vector fields defining the (abelian) twist. A first order action for the gauge fields avoids the use of the Hodge dual. The NC action is invariant under diffeomorphisms and twisted gauge transformations. The Seiberg-Witten map, adapted to our geometric setting and generalized for an arbitrary abelian twist, allows to reexpress the NC action in terms of classical fields: the result is a deformed action, invariant under diffeomorphisms and usual gauge transformations. This deformed action is a particular higher derivative extension of the Einstein-Hilbert action coupled to Yang-Mills fields, and to the background vector fields defining the twist. Here noncommutativity of the original NC action dictates the precise form of this extension. We explicitly compute the first order correction in the NC parameter of the deformed action, and find that it is proportional to cubic products of the gauge field strength and to the symmetric anomaly tensor D_{IJK}.

---

aschieri@to.infn.it
leonardo.castellani@mfn.unipmn.it

1 Introduction

We present a noncommutative (NC) version, obtained by a twist, of the geometric action for gauge fields coupled to gravity. Via the Seiberg-Witten map [1], relating the noncommutative fields to their classical (commutative) counterparts, we find a higher derivative generalization of Einstein theory coupled to gauge fields, where the higher order couplings are dictated by the noncommutative structure of the original NC action. The resulting theory is geometric (diffeomorphic invariant) and gauge invariant under usual gauge transformations.

Thus noncommutativity indicates a particular choice within the numerous classical extensions of Einstein gravity coupled to Yang-Mills fields. In most cases these extensions are seen as effective theories, with various phenomenological motivations.

Field theories on noncommutative spaces become especially tractable when the noncommutativity can be encoded in a twisted product (associative, noncommutative $\star$-product) between ordinary fields.

This product between fields generates infinitely many derivatives and introduces a dimensionful noncommutativity parameter θ. The prototypical and simplest example of $\star$-product is the Moyal-Groenewold product [2] (historically arising in phase-space after Weyl quantization [3]) :

$$\begin{aligned} f(x) \star g(x) &\equiv \exp\left(\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\frac{\partial}{\partial x^\mu}\frac{\partial}{\partial y^\nu}\right) f(x)g(y)|_{y\to x} \\ &= f(x)g(x) + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{\mu\nu}\partial_\mu f \partial_\nu g + \cdots + \frac{1}{n!}\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^n \theta^{\mu_1\nu_1}\cdots\theta^{\mu_n\nu_n}(\partial_{\mu_1}\cdots\partial_{\mu_n} f)(\partial_{\nu_1}\cdots\partial_{\nu_n} g) + \cdots \end{aligned} \tag{1.1}$$

with a constant θ. An easy generalization is provided by the twisted $\star$-product, where the partial derivatives in (1.1) are replaced by a set of commuting tangent vectors $X_A \equiv X^\mu_A \partial_\mu$. To extend this $\star$-product to forms one simply replaces the tangent vectors X_A, acting on functions, with Lie derivatives along X_A, acting on forms.

The simple prescription of replacing products between fields with $\star$-products yields nonlocal actions, containing an infinite number of new interactions and higher derivative terms. In this way deformed vielbein gravity (coupled to fermions) [4] and supergravity [5] have been obtained, as well as deformed Yang-Mills theories in flat space (see for ex. [6, 7, 8]).

These deformed theories, also called noncommutative (NC) theories, are invariant under deformations of the original symmetries. For example the NC action for gauge fields is invariant under deformed gauge symmetries that involve $\star$-products.

Noncommutativity apparently comes with a price, i.e. a proliferation of new degrees of freedom. This can be simply understood by considering the $\star$-deformation of the Yang-Mills field strength:

$$F^I_{\mu\nu} T_I = \partial_\mu A^I_\nu T_I - \partial_\nu A^I_\mu T_I - (A^I_\mu \star A^J_\nu - A^I_\nu \star A^J_\mu) T_I T_J \tag{1.2}$$

Because of noncommutativity of the $\star$-product, anticommutators as well as commutators of group generators are appearing in the right-hand side, and therefore the T_I must be a basis for the whole universal enveloping algebra of G. The range of the index I increases dramatically, and so do the number of independent A^I_μ field components. A way to reduce this proliferation is to choose a specific representation for the generators T_I. For example if the gauge group is $SU(2)$ and we take its generators to be the in the defining $2 \otimes 2$ representation, these are just the Pauli matrices, and a basis for the enveloping algebra only requires an additional matrix proportional to the unit matrix. We may even dispense with these remaining extra degrees of freedom if we use the Seiberg-Witten map, that allows to express the extra fields in terms of the original set of fields of the undeformed theory, the so-called classical fields. In the $SU(2)$ example, the map relates the four noncommutative fields to the three classical $SU2$ gauge fields.

With this procedure the NC deformation of vielbein gravity coupled to a fermion field, found in [4], has been re-expressed in [9] in terms of the classical vielbein, spin connection and fermi fields, and its action has been computed to second order in the noncommutativity parameter.

In the present paper we construct an extension of vielbein gravity coupled to gauge fields, first by finding a geometric NC action invariant under general coordinate and $\star$-gauge transformations, and then by solving the SW map for the fields in terms of classical fields. Substituting in the NC action produces the higher-order extension of Einstein gravity coupled to Yang-Mills fields and to the commuting vector fields X_A. Here the X_A fields are nondynamical background fields, but they can become dynamical with a mechanism similar to the one found in ref. [10]. This is discussed in a forthcoming article [11].

The first order (in θ) correction S^1 to the classical action is computed explicitly, and is invariant under diffeomorphisms and ordinary gauge transformations. Moreover, it is proportional to cubic products of the gauge field strength, and to the completely symmetric anomaly tensor $D_{IJK} \equiv Tr(T_I\{T_J, T_K\})$ (this is in agreement with the flat space results of ref. [8]). Thus S^1 is nonvanishing only for nonreal representations of $SU(N)$ $(N \neq 2)$.

The paper is organized as follows: in Section 2 we recall the classical geometric action for gauge fields coupled to gravity, where a first order formalism avoids the use of the Hodge dual. Section 3 presents an equivalent classical action where gauge potential and spin connection are united in a single generalized connection. Its noncommutative version is obtained in Section 4, where we also discuss its NC symmetries. The Seiberg-Witten (SW) map is briefly summarized in Section 5, as well as its geometrical formulation for a general abelian twist. In Section 6 we apply the SW map to find the first order θ corrections of all the fields and curvatures. Finally, in Section 7 we substitute in the NC action the noncommutative fields by their SW expansions, and find the first order correction to the classical action. Section 8 contains conclusions and outlook. Appendix A contains some elementary notions on NC geometry based on twist deformations, and Appendix B summarizes our gamma matrix conventions.

2 Gauge fields coupled to gravity: classical action

2.1 Geometric first order action

We recall the geometric first order action for gauge fields coupled to gravity, see for example ref. [12] (p. 185). This formulation avoids the explicit introduction of the Hodge dual for the gauge field strength[1]. For generality we include also a cosmological constant Λ. The action is:

$$S = \int (R^{ab} + \Lambda V^a \wedge V^b) \wedge V^c \wedge V^d \epsilon_{abcd} + Tr(f^{ab} F - \frac{1}{12} f^{rs} f_{rs} V^a \wedge V^b) \wedge V^c \wedge V^d \epsilon_{abcd} \tag{2.1}$$

The fundamental fields are:

i) the one-form spin connection ω^{ab}, entering the action through the Lorentz curvature

$$R^{ab} \equiv d\omega^{ab} - \omega^{ac} \wedge \omega^{cb} \tag{2.2}$$

ii) the gauge field Lie algebra valued one-form A

$$A \equiv A^I_\mu T_I dx^\mu, \qquad [T_I, T_J] = C^K_{IJ} T_K : \; G \; Lie \; algebra \; generators \tag{2.3}$$

whose two-form field strength

$$F \equiv F^I T_I \equiv dA - A \wedge A, \qquad \Longrightarrow F^I = dA^I - C^I_{JK} A^J \wedge A^K \tag{2.4}$$

appears in the action. Generators of unitary groups are antihermitian in our conventions. The components ${R^{cd}}_{ab}$ and F^I_{ab} of the 2-forms R^{cd} and F^I are defined by

$$R^{cd} \equiv {R^{cd}}_{ab} V^a \wedge V^b, \quad F^I \equiv F^I_{ab} V^a \wedge V^b \tag{2.5}$$

iii) the zero-form auxiliary antisymmetric field $f_{rs} \equiv f^I_{rs} T_I$;

iv) the vielbein one-form V^a

The variation of the action with respect to f^I_{rs} identifies the auxiliary field with the antisymmetric components of the field strength:

$$F^I_{ab} = f^I_{ab} \tag{2.6}$$

The field equation for ω^{ab} gives the zero torsion condition:

$$dV^a - \omega^{ab} \wedge V^b = 0 \tag{2.7}$$

which allows to express the spin connection in terms of derivatives of vielbeins and inverse vielbeins (second order formalism).

---

[1] an essential feature in the group geometric formulation of supergravity theories, see for ex. ref.s [12, 13].

The variation with respect to the gauge field A yields the Yang-Mills equations of motion:

$$D^G(F^I_{ab}V^c \wedge V^d \varepsilon_{abcd}) = 0 \quad \Longrightarrow D^{G\otimes SO(1,3)}_a F^I_{ab} \equiv \partial_a F^I_{ab} - \omega_a{}^{ac} f^I_{cb} + \omega^{bc}_a f^I_{ca} - C^I_{JK} A^J_a f^K_{ab} = 0 \tag{2.8}$$

where as usual curved and flat indices are related via the vielbein and the inverse vielbein ($\omega^{bc}_a = V^\mu_a \omega^{bc}_\mu$, $\partial_a = V^\mu_a \partial_\mu$ etc.). The zero torsion condition has been used to replace derivatives of vielbein with spin connection terms, and $D^G, D^{G\otimes SO(1,3)}$ are the G-covariant and $G \otimes SO(1,3)$-covariant exterior derivatives.

Finally varying the vielbein V^a leads to the Einstein equation:

$$R^{ac}{}_{bc} - \frac{1}{2}\delta^a_b R^{cd}{}_{cd} = -(F^I_{ac}F^I_{bc} - \frac{1}{4}\delta^a_b F^I_{cd}F^I_{cd}) + 3\Lambda\delta^a_b \tag{2.9}$$

2.2 Index-free action

The action (2.1) can be recast in index-free form as follows:

$$S = \int Tr[i\gamma_5(R\wedge V\wedge V + \Lambda V\wedge V\wedge V\wedge V + fF\wedge V\wedge V + \frac{1}{6}ffV\wedge V\wedge V\wedge V)] \tag{2.10}$$

where $R \equiv \frac{1}{4}R^{ab}\gamma_{ab}$, $f \equiv \frac{1}{4}f^I_{rs}\gamma_{rs}T_I$, $F = F^I T_I$, $V \equiv V^a\gamma_a$, and the trace is taken both on the group G representation and on the spinor space. Use of the $D = 4$ gamma matrix identities

$$Tr(\gamma_{ab}\gamma_c\gamma_d\gamma_5) = -4i\varepsilon_{abcd}, \quad \gamma_{[a}\gamma_b\gamma_c\gamma_{d]} = -i\gamma_5\varepsilon_{abcd} \tag{2.11}$$

yields the action (2.1). The index-free definition of the Lorentz curvature is

$$R = d\omega - \omega \wedge \omega \tag{2.12}$$

with $\omega \equiv \frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab}$. The definitions (2.4) and (2.12) imply the Bianchi identities for F and R:

$$D^G F \equiv dF - A \wedge F + F \wedge A = 0, \quad D^{SO(1,3)}R \equiv dR - \omega \wedge R + R \wedge \omega = 0 \tag{2.13}$$

2.3 Symmetries

Apart from general coordinate invariance, obtained *ab initio* through the use of Cartan calculus, the action (2.1) is invariant under gauge and local Lorentz transformations. In index-free form these transformations are

1) gauge transformations:

$$\delta^{gauge}_\varepsilon A = d\varepsilon - A\varepsilon + \varepsilon A \quad \Rightarrow \delta^{gauge}_\varepsilon F = -F\varepsilon + \varepsilon F \tag{2.14}$$

$$\delta^{gauge}_\varepsilon V = 0 \tag{2.15}$$

$$\delta^{gauge}_\varepsilon f = -f\varepsilon + \varepsilon f \tag{2.16}$$

$$\delta^{gauge}_\varepsilon \omega = 0 \quad \Rightarrow \delta^{gauge}_\varepsilon R = 0 \tag{2.17}$$

with $\varepsilon \equiv \varepsilon^I T_I$;

2) Lorentz transformations:

$$\delta_\varepsilon^{Lorentz} A = 0 \rightarrow \delta_\varepsilon^{Lorentz} F = 0 \tag{2.18}$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon^{Lorentz} V = -V\varepsilon + \varepsilon V \tag{2.19}$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon^{Lorentz} f = -f\varepsilon + \varepsilon f \tag{2.20}$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon^{Lorentz} \omega = d\varepsilon - \omega\varepsilon + \varepsilon\omega \quad \Rightarrow \delta_\varepsilon^{gauge} R = -R\varepsilon + \varepsilon R \tag{2.21}$$

with $\varepsilon \equiv \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{ab}\gamma_{ab}$. Checking the invariance of the action (2.10) under these transformations is immediate, using the cyclicity of the trace and the fact that ε commutes with γ_5 both for gauge and Lorentz transformations.

3 An improved classical action

The similar role played by R and F in the action (2.10) suggests to unify gauge potential and spin connection in a "total" connection Ω:

$$\Omega \equiv \omega + A = \frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab} + A^I T_I \tag{3.22}$$

and define a total curvature $R(\Omega)$ as

$$R(\Omega) \equiv d\Omega - \Omega \wedge \Omega \tag{3.23}$$

satisfying the Bianchi identity:

$$DR(\Omega) \equiv dR(\Omega) - \Omega \wedge R(\Omega) + R(\Omega) \wedge \Omega = 0 \tag{3.24}$$

(equivalent to the Bianchi identities for F and R of previous Section), D being the $G \otimes SO(1,3)$-covariant exterior derivative. Since γ matrices commute with the G group generators (they act on different spaces) the total curvature is the sum of R and F:

$$R(\Omega) = d\omega + dA - \omega \wedge \omega - A \wedge A = R + F = \frac{1}{4}R^{ab}\gamma_{ab} + F^I T_I \tag{3.25}$$

We can now consider the following "improved" index-free action:

$$S = \int Tr[i\gamma_5(fR(\Omega) \wedge V \wedge V + \frac{1}{6}ff\ V \wedge V \wedge V \wedge V + \Lambda' V \wedge V \wedge V \wedge V)] \tag{3.26}$$

where the auxiliary 0-form field f is defined as

$$f \equiv \frac{1}{4}f_{rs}^I \gamma_{rs} T_I + 1 \tag{3.27}$$

It is easy to see that by substituting the expressions for $R(\Omega)$ and f given in (3.25) and (3.27), and provided that $Tr(T_I) = 0$ (holding for any representation and for any product of simple Lie groups[2]), this action reproduces the index-free action (2.10) with a cosmological constant Λ given by

$$\Lambda = \Lambda' + \frac{1}{6} \tag{3.28}$$

Thus $\Lambda' = -(1/6)$ corresponds to a vanishing cosmological constant Λ. The improved action is invariant under the total gauge symmetry:

$$\delta_\varepsilon \Omega = d\varepsilon - \Omega\varepsilon + \varepsilon\Omega \quad \Longrightarrow \delta_\varepsilon R(\Omega) = -R(\Omega)\varepsilon + \varepsilon R(\Omega) \tag{3.29}$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon V = -V\varepsilon + \varepsilon V \tag{3.30}$$

$$\delta_\varepsilon f = -f\varepsilon + \varepsilon f \tag{3.31}$$

where ε depends on spacetime, commutes with γ_5 and otherwise has an arbitrary structure. Lorentz and gauge transformations correspond to a parameter

$$\varepsilon \equiv \frac{1}{4}\varepsilon^{ab}\gamma_{ab} + \varepsilon^I T_I \tag{3.32}$$

Since T_I and γ_{ab} commute, the spin connection and the vielbein are inert under gauge transformations, and transform under Lorentz transformations as in (2.19), (2.21), or in components:

$$\delta_\varepsilon^{Lorentz}\omega^{ab} = d\varepsilon^{ab} + \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{ac}\omega^{cb} - \frac{1}{2}\varepsilon^{bc}\omega^{ca}, \qquad \delta_\varepsilon^{Lorentz}V^a = \varepsilon^{ab}V^b \tag{3.33}$$

The gaugel transformations (3.29)-(3.31) close according to the commutation rules

$$[\delta_{\varepsilon_1}, \delta_{\varepsilon_2}] = \delta_{\varepsilon_2\varepsilon_1 - \varepsilon_1\varepsilon_2} \tag{3.34}$$

and for the specific parameter (3.32) reproduce the commutation relations of infinitesimal $SO(1,3) \otimes G$ transformations.

4 Noncommutative action

The twisted noncommutative action is found by replacing in the improved index-free action (3.26) all products by twisted $\star$-products:

$$S = \int Tr[i\gamma_5(\hat{f}\star\hat{V}\wedge_\star\hat{R}(\hat{\Omega})\wedge_\star\hat{V} + \frac{1}{6}\,\hat{f}\star\hat{f}\star\hat{V}\wedge_\star\hat{V}\wedge_\star\hat{V}\wedge_\star\hat{V} + \Lambda'\hat{V}\wedge_\star\hat{V}\wedge_\star\hat{V}\wedge_\star\hat{V})] \tag{4.1}$$

and the curvature $\hat{R}(\hat{\Omega})$ is defined by

$$\hat{R}(\hat{\Omega}) = d\hat{\Omega} - \hat{\Omega}\wedge_\star\hat{\Omega} \tag{4.2}$$

---

[2] $Tr(T_I)$ does not necessarily hold for $U(1)$, but choosing the only generator T to be in the defining representation of $SO(2)$ still implies $Tr(T) = 0$

This definition implies the Bianchi identity:

$$D\widehat{R}(\widehat{\Omega}) \equiv d\widehat{R}(\widehat{\Omega}) - \widehat{\Omega} \wedge_\star \widehat{R}(\widehat{\Omega}) + \widehat{R}(\widehat{\Omega}) \wedge_\star \widehat{\Omega} = 0 \tag{4.3}$$

The particular order $fVRV$ in the first term of the action ensures its reality, as discussed later. The (associative) $\star$-exterior product between forms is defined by using Lie derivatives along a set of commuting vector fields X_A, see Appendix A.

The fields in the above NC action have deformed transformation laws: to distinguish them from the ordinary fields transforming under the usual laws we denote them with a hat. In fact, the Seiberg-Witten map relates the hatted fields (the "noncommutative" fields) to the ordinary ones.

4.1 Noncommutative symmetries

The NC action (4.1) is invariant under general coordinate transformations (being the integral of a 4-form) and under the $\star$-gauge variations:

$$\begin{aligned}
\widehat{\delta}_{\widehat{\varepsilon}}\widehat{\Omega} &= d\widehat{\varepsilon} - \widehat{\Omega} \star \widehat{\varepsilon} + \widehat{\varepsilon} \star \widehat{\Omega} \quad \Longrightarrow \widehat{\delta}_{\widehat{\varepsilon}}\widehat{R}(\widehat{\Omega}) = -\widehat{R}(\widehat{\Omega}) \star \widehat{\varepsilon} + \widehat{\varepsilon} \star \widehat{R}(\widehat{\Omega}) && (4.4)\\
\widehat{\delta}_{\widehat{\varepsilon}}\widehat{V} &= -\widehat{V} \star \widehat{\varepsilon} + \widehat{\varepsilon} \star \widehat{V} && (4.5)\\
\widehat{\delta}_{\widehat{\varepsilon}}\widehat{f} &= -\widehat{f} \star \widehat{\varepsilon} + \widehat{\varepsilon} \star \widehat{f} && (4.6)
\end{aligned}$$

with an arbitrary parameter $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ commuting with γ_5.

The invariance of the noncommutative action under these transformations relies on the cyclicity of the integral (and of the trace) and on the fact that ε commutes with γ_5.

4.2 How many fields ?

Because of noncommutativity, extra fields are entering in the expansions of $\widehat{\Omega}, \widehat{V}, \widehat{f}$. Indeed the set of gauge group generators $\mathcal{T}_B = \{T_I, \gamma_{ab}\}$ must include now all products $\mathcal{T}_B\mathcal{T}_C$ since both commutators and anticommutators are involved in expressions like $\widehat{\Omega} \wedge_\star \widehat{\Omega} = \widehat{\Omega}^B \wedge_\star \widehat{\Omega}^C \mathcal{T}_B\mathcal{T}_C$. Thus the gauge field, its curvature and the scalar fields $\widehat{f}$ take values in $U(so(1,3) \otimes g)$, the universal enveloping algebra of $SO(3,1) \times G$, whose generators are $i\gamma_{ab} \otimes T_{\bar{I}}$, $1 \otimes T_{\bar{I}}$ and $i\gamma_5 \otimes T_{\bar{I}}$, where $T_{\bar{I}}$ now stand for all (symmetrized) products of the original G Lie algebra generators T_I plus the unity (conventionally indicated as T_0). Similarly the noncommutative vielbein $\widehat{V}$, which classically has only γ_a components, now acquires components along $\gamma_a \otimes T_{\bar{I}}$ and $\gamma_a\gamma_5 \otimes T_{\bar{I}}$ (the terms proportional to $\gamma_a\gamma_5$ are needed because $\{\gamma_{ab}, \gamma_c\} = 2i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma^d\gamma_5$, we therefore have to consider all odd gamma matrices). Since there are infinite $T_{\bar{I}}$ generators of the universal enveloping algebra, we would end up with an infinite number of field components.

However the situation changes drastically if we choose a specific G (irreducible) representation for the $T_{\bar{I}}$ generators: the $U(g)$ enveloping algebra becomes then finite dimensional. It also explicitly includes the unit matrix, since for example the quadratic Casimir invariant for G must be proportional to unity. All generators $T_{\bar{I}}$

are chosen to be antihermitian in our conventions. The antihermitian unity will be written as $T_0 \equiv i1$. For example if $G = SU(2)$ we can choose the 2×2 defining representation, and a basis of generators $T_{\bar{I}}$ for the enveloping algebra is given by the four matrices $(\tau_i = i\sigma_i,\ i1)$, where σ_i are the Pauli matrices.

The $SO(1,3)$ enveloping algebra generators Γ_α are already in the spinor representation given by Dirac matrices, and are chosen to be γ_0-antihermitian:

$$\Gamma_\alpha = \frac{1}{4}\gamma_{ab},\ i1,\ \gamma_5 \tag{4.7}$$

$$(\Gamma_\alpha)^\dagger = -\gamma_0 \Gamma_\alpha \gamma_0 \tag{4.8}$$

The noncommutative fields are expanded as follows:

$$\widehat{\Omega} = -\frac{i}{4}\widehat{\Omega}^{ab,\bar{I}}\gamma_{ab}T_{\bar{I}} + \widehat{\Omega}^{\bar{I}}T_{\bar{I}} - i\widehat{\widetilde{\Omega}}^{\bar{I}}\gamma_5 T_{\bar{I}} \tag{4.9}$$

$$\widehat{V} = -i\widehat{V}^{a,\bar{I}}\gamma_a T_{\bar{I}} - i\widehat{\widetilde{V}}^{a,\bar{I}}\gamma_a\gamma_5 T_{\bar{I}} \tag{4.10}$$

$$\widehat{f} = \frac{1}{4}\widehat{f}^{\bar{I}}_{ab}\gamma^{ab}T_{\bar{I}} + i\widehat{f}^{\bar{I}}T_{\bar{I}} + \widehat{\widetilde{f}}^{\bar{I}}\gamma_5 T_{\bar{I}} \tag{4.11}$$

where we recall that the genertors $T_{\bar{I}}$ are antihermitian. Similarly for the curvature and the gauge parameter the expansions are:

$$\widehat{R} = -\frac{i}{4}\widehat{R}^{ab,\bar{I}}\gamma_{ab}T_{\bar{I}} + \widehat{R}^{\bar{I}}T_{\bar{I}} - i\widehat{\widetilde{R}}^{\bar{I}}\gamma_5 T_{\bar{I}} \tag{4.12}$$

$$\widehat{\varepsilon} = -\frac{i}{4}\widehat{\varepsilon}^{ab,\bar{I}}\gamma_{ab}T_{\bar{I}} + \widehat{\varepsilon}^{\bar{I}}T_{\bar{I}} - i\widehat{\widetilde{\varepsilon}}^{\bar{I}}\gamma_5 T_{\bar{I}} \tag{4.13}$$

All the components along the $SO(1,3) \times G$ enveloping algebra generators are taken to be real, and therefore fields and curvatures satisfy the hermiticity properties:

$$\widehat{\Omega}^\dagger = -\gamma_0\widehat{\Omega}\gamma_0,\ \widehat{V}^\dagger = \gamma_0\widehat{V}\gamma_0,\ \widehat{f}^\dagger = \gamma_0\widehat{f}\gamma_0,\ \widehat{R}^\dagger = -\gamma_0\widehat{R}\gamma_0 \tag{4.14}$$

i.e. $\widehat{\Omega}$ and $\widehat{R}$ are γ_0-antihermitian, while V and f are γ_0-hermitian. Using these rules it is a quick matter to check that the noncommutative action (4.1) is real.
In the following we will occasionally split the index $\bar{I}$ as $T_{\bar{I}} = (T_0 \equiv i1, T_{\bar{i}})$.

We can insist and require that only the components $\widehat{V}^{a,0}$, $\widehat{\Omega}^{ab,0}$, $\widehat{\Omega}^I$ and $\widehat{f}^I_{rs}$ of the fields given above are independent, in order to match the degrees of freedom of the classical theory. This is done in Section 5 via the Seiberg-Witten map, that allows to express all noncommutative fields in terms of the commutative -or classical- fields $V^a,\ \omega^{ab},\ A^I,\ f^I_{rs}$.

5 Seiberg-Witten map and fields at first order in θ for Moyal $\star$-product

The Seiberg-Witten map relates the noncommutative gauge field $\widehat{\Omega}$ to the ordinary one Ω, and the noncommutative gauge parameter $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ to the ordinary one ε so as to satisfy:

$$\widehat{\Omega}(\Omega) + \widehat{\delta}_{\widehat{\varepsilon}}\widehat{\Omega}(\Omega) = \widehat{\Omega}(\Omega + \delta_\varepsilon \Omega) \tag{5.15}$$

with

$$\delta_\varepsilon \Omega_\mu = \partial_\mu \varepsilon + \varepsilon \Omega_\mu - \Omega_\mu \varepsilon, \tag{5.16}$$

$$\widehat{\delta}_{\widehat{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\Omega}_\mu = \partial_\mu \widehat{\varepsilon} + \widehat{\varepsilon} \star \widehat{\Omega}_\mu - \widehat{\Omega}_\mu \star \widehat{\varepsilon} \tag{5.17}$$

In words: the dependence of the noncommutative gauge field on the ordinary one is fixed by requiring that ordinary gauge variations of Ω inside $\widehat{\Omega}(\Omega)$ produce the noncommutative gauge variation of $\widehat{\Omega}$. The above equation can be solved order by order in θ, yielding $\widehat{\Omega}$ and $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ as a power series in θ:

$$\widehat{\Omega}(\Omega, \theta) = \Omega + \Omega^1(\Omega) + \Omega^2(\Omega) + \cdots + \Omega^n(\Omega) + \cdots \tag{5.18}$$

$$\widehat{\varepsilon}(\varepsilon, \Omega, \theta) = \varepsilon + \varepsilon^1(\varepsilon, \Omega) + \varepsilon^2(\varepsilon, \Omega) + \cdots + \varepsilon^n(\varepsilon, \Omega) + \cdots \tag{5.19}$$

where $\Omega^n(\Omega)$ and $\varepsilon^n(\varepsilon, \Omega)$ are of order n in θ. Note that $\widehat{\varepsilon}$ depends on the ordinary ε and also on Ω.

The recurrence relations that solve (5.15), in our antihermitian fields convention, are (see ref. [14], or [9] for a simple proof).

$$\Omega_\mu^{n+1} = \frac{i}{4(n+1)} \theta^{\rho\sigma} \{\hat{\Omega}_\rho, \partial_\sigma \hat{\Omega}_\mu + 2\hat{R}(\Omega)_{\rho\mu}\}_\star^n \tag{5.20}$$

$$\varepsilon^{n+1} = \frac{i}{4(n+1)} \theta^{\rho\sigma} \{\widehat{\Omega}_\rho, \partial_\sigma \widehat{\varepsilon}\}_\star^n \tag{5.21}$$

where $\{\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}\}_\star^n$ is n-th order term in $\{\widehat{f}, \widehat{g}\}_\star \equiv f \star g + g \star f$, so that for example

$$\{\widehat{\Omega}_\rho, \partial_\sigma \widehat{\varepsilon}\}_\star^n \equiv \sum_{r+s+t=n} (\Omega_\rho^r \star^s \partial_\sigma \varepsilon^t + \partial_\sigma \varepsilon^t \star^s \Omega_\rho^r) \tag{5.22}$$

and $\star^s$ indicates the s-th order term in the star product expansion (1.1).

Similar expressions hold for the gauge field strength $\widehat{R}(\widehat{\Omega})$, and for matter fields ϕ transforming in the adjoint representation of the gauge group:

$$R_{\mu\nu}^{n+1} = \frac{i}{4(n+1)} \theta^{\rho\sigma} \left(\{\widehat{\Omega}_\rho, \partial_\sigma \widehat{R}_{\mu\nu} + D_\sigma \widehat{R}_{\mu\nu}\}_\star^n - 4\{\widehat{R}_{\mu\rho}, \widehat{R}_{\nu\sigma}\}_\star^n \right) \tag{5.23}$$

$$\phi^{n+1} = \frac{i}{4(n+1)} \theta^{\rho\sigma} \{\widehat{\Omega}_\rho, \partial_\sigma \widehat{\phi} + D_\sigma \widehat{\phi}\}_\star^n, \quad \widehat{\delta}_{\widehat{\varepsilon}} \widehat{\phi} = \widehat{\varepsilon} \star \widehat{\phi} - \widehat{\phi} \star \widehat{\varepsilon} \tag{5.24}$$

where the covariant derivatives on $\widehat{R}$ and $\widehat{\phi}$ are given by $D_\sigma \widehat{R}_{\mu\nu} = \partial_\sigma \widehat{R}_{\mu\nu} - \widehat{\Omega}_\sigma \star \widehat{R}_{\mu\nu} + \widehat{R}_{\mu\nu} \star \widehat{\Omega}_\sigma$ and $D_\sigma \widehat{\phi} = \partial_\sigma \widehat{\phi} - \widehat{\Omega}_\sigma \star \widehat{\phi} + \widehat{\phi} \star \widehat{\Omega}_\sigma$.

At first order in θ, recalling that the classical gauge field is $\Omega = \omega + A = \frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}\gamma_{ab} + A^I T_I$ we find the component fields as collected in the following Table.

TABLE 1: fields at first order in θ

Spin connection

$$\Omega^{1\ ab,I}_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{4}\theta^{\rho\sigma}[\omega^{ab}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma}A^{I}_{\mu} + F^{I}_{\sigma\mu}) + A^{I}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma}\omega^{ab}_{\mu} + R^{ab}_{\sigma\mu})] \tag{5.25}$$

$$\Omega^{1\ 0}_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{16}\theta^{\rho\sigma}[\omega^{ab}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma}\omega^{ab}_{\mu} + R^{ab}_{\sigma\mu}) + 8A^{I}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma}A^{J}_{\mu} + F^{J}_{\sigma\mu})K^{0}_{IJ}] \tag{5.26}$$

$$\Omega^{1\ \bar{i}}_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{4}\theta^{\rho\sigma}A^{I}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma}A^{J}_{\mu} + F^{J}_{\sigma\mu})K^{\bar{i}}_{IJ} \tag{5.27}$$

$$\widetilde{\Omega}^{1\ I}_{\mu} = \frac{1}{32}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\omega^{ab}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma}\omega^{cd}_{\mu} + R^{cd}_{\sigma\mu})\epsilon_{abcd}\delta^{I0} \tag{5.28}$$

where $\{T_I, T_J\} = iK^{\bar{K}}_{IJ}T_{\bar{K}}$, with $K^{\bar{K}}_{IJ}$ real constants.

Vielbein

$$V^{1\ a,I}_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}A^{I}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})V^{a}_{\mu} \tag{5.29}$$

$$\widetilde{V}^{1\ a,I}_{\mu} = -\frac{1}{8}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\omega^{bc}_{\rho}\epsilon_{bcda}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})V^{d}_{\mu}\delta^{I0} \tag{5.30}$$

Auxiliary field

$$f^{1\ ab,\bar{I}} = -\frac{1}{4}\theta^{\rho\sigma}A^{J}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})f^{ab,K}K^{\bar{I}}_{JK} \tag{5.31}$$

$$f^{1\ I} = -\frac{1}{16}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\omega^{ab}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})f^{ab,I} \tag{5.32}$$

$$\tilde{f}^{1\ I} = \frac{1}{32}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\omega^{ab}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})f^{cd,I}\epsilon_{abcd} \tag{5.33}$$

Curvature

$$R^{1\ ab,I}_{\mu\nu} = \theta^{\rho\sigma}[-\frac{1}{2}\omega^{ab}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})F^{I}_{\mu\nu} - \frac{1}{2}A^{I}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})R^{ab}_{\mu\nu} + R^{ab}_{\mu\rho}F^{I}_{\nu\sigma} + F^{I}_{\mu\rho}R^{ab}_{\nu\sigma}] \tag{5.34}$$

$$R^{1\ 0}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4}\theta^{\rho\sigma}[\frac{1}{4}\omega^{ab}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})R^{ab}_{\mu\nu} - A^{I}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})F^{J}_{\mu\nu}K^{0}_{IJ} - \frac{1}{2}R^{ab}_{\mu\rho}R^{ab}_{\nu\sigma} + 2F^{I}_{\mu\rho}F^{J}_{\nu\sigma}K^{0}_{IJ}] \tag{5.35}$$

$$R^{1\ \bar{i}}_{\mu\nu} = -\frac{1}{4}\theta^{\rho\sigma}[A^{I}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})F^{J}_{\mu\nu}K^{\bar{i}}_{IJ} - 2F^{I}_{\mu\rho}F^{J}_{\nu\sigma}K^{\bar{i}}_{IJ}] \tag{5.36}$$

$$\widetilde{R}^{1\ 0}_{\mu\nu} = \frac{1}{4}\theta^{\rho\sigma}[\frac{1}{8}\omega^{ab}_{\rho}(\partial_{\sigma} + D_{\sigma})R^{cd}_{\mu\nu}\epsilon_{abcd} - \frac{1}{4}R^{ab}_{\mu\rho}R^{cd}_{\nu\sigma}\epsilon_{abcd}] \tag{5.37}$$

$$\widetilde{R}^{1\ \bar{i}}_{\mu\nu} = 0 \tag{5.38}$$

6 Geometric Seiberg-Witten map and fields at first order in θ for a general abelian twist

As observed in ref. [9], the SW map can be recast in a coordinate-independent way, and generalized to a $\star$-product originating from an abelian twist. We recall here the relevant formulae, corresponding to (5.20), (5.21), (5.23), (5.24) of the previous Section:

$$\Omega^{n+1} = \frac{i}{4(n+1)}\theta^{AB}\{\widehat{\Omega}_A, \ell_B\widehat{\Omega} + \widehat{R}_B\}^n_\star \tag{6.39}$$

$$\varepsilon^{n+1} = \frac{i}{4(n+1)}\theta^{AB}\{\widehat{\Omega}_A, \ell_B\widehat{\varepsilon}\}^n_\star \tag{6.40}$$

$$R^{n+1} = \frac{i}{4(n+1)}\theta^{AB}\left(\{\widehat{\Omega}_A, (\ell_B + L_B)\widehat{R}\}^n_\star - [\widehat{R}_A, \widehat{R}_B]^n_\star\right) \tag{6.41}$$

$$\phi^{n+1} = \frac{i}{4(n+1)}\theta^{AB}\{\widehat{\Omega}_A, (\ell_B + L_B)\widehat{\phi}\}^n_\star, \quad \widehat{\delta}_{\widehat{\varepsilon}}\widehat{\phi} = \widehat{\varepsilon}\star\widehat{\phi} - \widehat{\phi}\star\widehat{\varepsilon} \tag{6.42}$$

where $\widehat{\Omega}_A$, $\widehat{R}_A$ are defined as the contraction along the tangent vector X_A of the exterior forms $\widehat{\Omega}$, $\widehat{R}$, i.e. $\widehat{\Omega}_A \equiv i_A\widehat{\Omega}$, $\widehat{R}_A \equiv i_A\widehat{R}$, ($i_A$ being the contraction along X_A). We have also intoduced the covariant Lie derivative L_B along the tangent vector X_B; it acts on $\widehat{R}$ and $\widehat{\phi}$ as $L_B\widehat{R} = \ell_B\widehat{R} - \widehat{\Omega}_B\star\widehat{R} + \widehat{R}\star\widehat{\Omega}_B$ and $L_B\widehat{\phi} = \ell_B\widehat{\phi} - \widehat{\Omega}_B\star\widehat{\phi} + \widehat{\phi}\star\widehat{\Omega}_B$. In fact the covariant Lie derivative L_B has the Cartan form:

$$L_B = i_B D + D i_B \tag{6.43}$$

where D is the covariant derivative.

For the fields of the index-free geometrical action (4.1) the above formulae at first order in θ become:

$$f^1 = \frac{i}{4}\theta^{AB}\{\Omega_A, (\ell_B + L_B)f\} \tag{6.44}$$

$$V^1 = \frac{i}{4}\theta^{AB}\{\Omega_A, (\ell_B + L_B)V\} \tag{6.45}$$

$$R^1 = \frac{i}{4}\theta^{AB}\left(\{\Omega_A, (\ell_B + L_B)R\} - [R_A, R_B]\right) \tag{6.46}$$

All these formulae are *not* $SO(1,3)\otimes G$-gauge covariant, due to the presence of the "naked" connection $\widehat{\Omega}$ and the non-covariant Lie derivative ℓ_A. However, when inserted in the NC action (4.1), the resulting action is gauge invariant order by order. Indeed usual gauge variations induce the $\star$-gauge variations under which the NC action is invariant. Therefore the NC action, re-expressed in terms of ordinary fields via the SW map, is invariant under usual gauge transformations. Since these do not involve θ, the expanded action is invariant under ordinary gauge variations order by order in θ. This will be explicitly cheked in next Section for the first order θ correction of the NC action.

7 Action at first order in θ

The first order correction of the action (4.1) reads:

$$\begin{aligned} S^1 = \quad & \int Tr[i\gamma_5(f^1(V \wedge R \wedge V) + f(V \wedge_\star R \wedge_\star V)^1 + \\ & +\frac{1}{6}(f \star f)^1 V \wedge V \wedge V \wedge V + \frac{1}{6} ff(V \wedge_\star V \wedge_\star V \wedge_\star V)^1 + \\ & +2\Lambda'(V \wedge_\star V)^1 \wedge V \wedge V)] \equiv \\ & \equiv S^1_{fVRV} + S^1_{ffVVVV} + S^1_{VVVV} \end{aligned} \tag{7.1}$$

where one of the $\star$-products in the integrands of (4.1) has been replaced by an ordinary product after partial integration. We now insert the first order expressions (6.44), (6.45) and (6.46) and compute separately the three lines, called S^1_{fVRV}, S^1_{ffVVVV} and S^1_{VVVV}:

$$\begin{aligned} S^1_{fVRV} = -\frac{1}{2}\theta^{AB} \int & Tr[\gamma_5((R_{AB} f + f R_{AB}) V \wedge R \wedge V \\ & + f(L_A V \wedge L_B R \wedge V + L_A V \wedge R \wedge L_B V + V \wedge L_A R \wedge L_B V) \\ & - \frac{1}{2} f V \wedge (R_A \wedge R_B - R_B \wedge R_A) \wedge V)] \end{aligned} \tag{7.2}$$

$$\begin{aligned} S^1_{ffVVVV} = -\frac{1}{12}\theta^{AB} \int & Tr[\gamma_5((R_{AB} ff + ff R_{AB}) V \wedge V \wedge V \wedge V \\ & + ff(L_A V \wedge L_B V \wedge V \wedge V + L_A V \wedge V \wedge L_B V \wedge V + L_A V \wedge V \wedge V \wedge L_B V \\ & + V \wedge L_A V \wedge L_B V \wedge V + V \wedge L_A V \wedge V \wedge L_B V + V \wedge V \wedge L_A V \wedge L_B V) \\ & + (L_A f)(L_B f) V \wedge V \wedge V \wedge V)] \end{aligned} \tag{7.3}$$

$$S^1_{VVVV} = -\theta^{AB} \int Tr[\gamma_5 \Lambda'(R_{AB} V \wedge V \wedge V \wedge V + L_A V \wedge L_B V \wedge V \wedge V)] \tag{7.4}$$

where all fields are classical. All three terms are separately gauge invariant. Covariant Lie derivatives can be expressed in terms of covariant exterior derivatives through the identities:

$$L_A V = D i_A V + i_a(DV) = DV_A + i_A T, \quad V_A \equiv i_A V = X^\mu_A V_\mu \tag{7.5}$$

$$L_A R = D R_A \tag{7.6}$$

$$L_A f = D_A f \tag{7.7}$$

where the torsion T is defined by $T = DV \equiv dV - \omega \wedge V - V \wedge \omega$. Moreover the curvature components R^{rs}_{AB} are defined as:

$$\begin{aligned} R^{rs}_{AB} \ &\equiv\ \frac{1}{2}(\ell_A \omega^{rs}_B - \ell_B \omega^{rs}_A - \omega^{rt}_A \wedge \omega^{ts}_B + \omega^{rt}_B \wedge \omega^{ts}_A) \\ &=\ \frac{1}{2} X^\mu_A X^\nu_B (\partial_\mu \omega^{rs}_\nu - \partial_\nu \omega^{rs}_\mu - \omega^{rt}_\mu \wedge \omega^{ts}_\nu + \omega^{rt}_\nu \wedge \omega^{ts}_\mu) = X^\mu_A X^\nu_B R^{rs}_{\mu\nu} \\ &=\ -\frac{1}{2} i_A i_B R^{rs} \end{aligned} \tag{7.8}$$

the equality between first and second line holding because the vector fields X_A are commuting:

$$[X_A, X_B] = 0 \Rightarrow X^\mu_A \partial_\mu X^\nu_B - X^\mu_B \partial_\mu X^\nu_A = 0 \tag{7.9}$$

Finally, using the classical expressions

$$f \equiv \frac{1}{4} f^I_{rs} \gamma_{rs} T_I + 1, \qquad V = V^a \gamma_a, \qquad R = \frac{1}{4} R^{ab} \gamma_{ab} + F^I T_I \tag{7.10}$$

and taking the traces on gamma matrices (see relevant formulas in Appendix B) yields the explicit first order corrections to the Einstein + Yang-Mills action. Many terms vanish because $Tr(T_I) = 0$, and we find:

$$S^1_{fVRV} = \frac{1}{2} \theta^{AB} \int [F^I_{AB} f^J_{rs} V^c \wedge F^L \wedge V^d - \frac{1}{2} f^I_{rs} V^c \wedge F^J_A \wedge F^L_B \wedge V^d] \varepsilon_{rscd} Tr(i\{T_I, T_J\} T_L) \tag{7.11}$$

$$S^1_{ffVVVV} = -\frac{\theta^{AB}}{12} \int F^K_{AB} f^I_{rs} f^J_{rs} Tr(iT_K \{T_I, T_J\}) \tag{7.12}$$

$$S^1_{VVVV} = 0 \tag{7.13}$$

Note that the first order correction S^1 to the NC action is proportional to cubic powers of the gauge field strength, and vanishes in absence of gauge fields: this agrees with the results of ref. [9], where the first order correction to the NC action for vielbein gravity coupled to fermions is found to be zero.

Moreover for all simple Lie groups G, except $SU(N)$ ($N \neq 2$), the completely symmetric tensor $D_{IJK} \equiv Tr(T_I \{T_J, T_K\}$ vanishes. Hence the first order in θ correction to the NC action can be nonzero only for $SU(N)$ ($N \neq 2$). The $U(1)$ case (electromagnetism coupled to gravity) also gives a vanishing first order correction, since we have taken the $U(1)$ generator T to satisfy $Tr(T) = 0$.

The situation is different for $G = SU(N)$. In this case D_{IJK} can be different from zero (for nonreal representations).

8 Conclusions and outlook

We have developed a systematic method to obtain deformations of classical geometric theories with local tangent space and gauge symmetries, based on twisted noncommutative geometry. Here we have constructed an extension of the geometrical action for the Einstein-Hilbert + Yang-Mills system, dictated by the twisted $\star$-product of the NC action.

Upon use of a generalization of the Seiberg-Witten map, the NC action has been re-expressed in terms of only the original (classical) fields: the vierbein, the spin connection and the gauge fields. The first order correction to the classical action is given explicitly, in a manifest gauge-invariant form, and is proportional to cubic powers of the gauge field strength and to the anomaly tensor D_{IJK}.

Thus NC vierbein gravity, introduced in ref. [4], can be consistently coupled to NC fermions [4] and to NC gauge fields. The coupling to NC scalar fields can be constructed via the same mechanism (first-order action) used for gauge fields [11]. Moreover, the scalar fields can be related to the commuting vector fields X_A defining the $\star$-product: then the vector fields X_A become dynamical, and we obtain NC vierbein gravity with dynamical noncommutativity [11], in the spirit of ref. [10].

A Twist differential geometry

The noncommutative deformation of gravity considered here and in ref.s [4, 5, 9] relies on the existence (in the deformation quantization context, see for ex [16]) of an associative $\star$-product between functions and more generally an associative $\wedge_\star$ exterior product between forms that satisfies the following properties:

- Compatibility with the undeformed exterior differential:

$$d(\tau \wedge_\star \tau') = d(\tau) \wedge_\star \tau' = \tau \wedge_\star d\tau' \tag{A.1}$$

- Compatibility with the undeformed integral (graded cyclicity property):

$$\int \tau \wedge_\star \tau' = (-1)^{deg(\tau)deg(\tau')} \int \tau' \wedge_\star \tau \tag{A.2}$$

with $deg(\tau) + deg(\tau')$ =D=dimension of the spacetime manifold, and where here τ and τ' have compact support (otherwise stated we require (A.2) to hold up to boundary terms).

- Compatibility with the undeformed complex conjugation:

$$(\tau \wedge_\star \tau')^* = (-1)^{deg(\tau)deg(\tau')} \tau'^* \wedge_\star \tau^* \tag{A.3}$$

Following [4] we describe here a (quite wide) class of twists whose $\star$-products have all these properties. As a particular case we have the Groenewold-Moyal $\star$-product

$$f \star g = \mu\{e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho \otimes \partial_\sigma} f \otimes g\}, \tag{A.4}$$

where the map μ is the usual pointwise multiplication: $\mu(f \otimes g) = fg$, and $\theta^{\rho\sigma}$ is a constant antisymmetric matrix.

Abelian Twist

Let Ξ be the linear space of smooth vector fields on a smooth manifold M, and $U\Xi$ its universal enveloping algebra. A twist $\mathcal{F} \in U\Xi \otimes U\Xi$ defines the associative $\star$-product

$$f \star g \;\; = \;\; \mu\{\mathcal{F}^{-1} f \otimes g\} \tag{A.5}$$

where the map μ is the usual pointwise multiplication: $\mu(f \otimes g) = fg$. The product associativity relies on the defining properties of the twist [15, 16].
Explicit examples of twist are provided by the so-called abelian twists:

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{AB} X_A \otimes X_B} \tag{A.6}$$

where $\{X_A\}$ is a set of mutually commuting vector fields globally defined on the manifold, and θ^{AB} is a constant antisymmetric matrix. The corresponding $\star$-product is in general position dependent because the vector fields X_A are in general x-dependent. In the special case that there exists a global coordinate system on the manifold we can consider the vector fields $X_A = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^A}$. In this instance we have the Moyal twist, cf. (A.4):

$$\mathcal{F}^{-1} = e^{\frac{i}{2}\theta^{\rho\sigma}\partial_\rho \otimes \partial_\sigma} \tag{A.7}$$

Deformed exterior product

For abelian twists (A.6), the deformed exterior product between forms is defined as

$$\begin{aligned}\tau \wedge_\star \tau' &\equiv \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^n \theta^{A_1 B_1} \cdots \theta^{A_n B_n} (\ell_{X_{A_1}} \cdots \ell_{X_{A_n}} \tau) \wedge (\ell_{X_{B_1}} \cdots \ell_{X_{B_n}} \tau') \\ &= \tau \wedge \tau' + \frac{i}{2}\theta^{AB}(\ell_{X_A}\tau) \wedge (\ell_{X_B}\tau') + \frac{1}{2!}\left(\frac{i}{2}\right)^2 \theta^{A_1 B_1}\theta^{A_2 B_2}(\ell_{X_{A_1}}\ell_{X_{A_2}}\tau) \wedge (\ell_{X_{B_1}}\ell_{X_{B_2}}\tau') + \cdots\end{aligned}$$

where the commuting tangent vectors X_A act on forms via the Lie derivatives ℓ_{X_A}. This product is associative, and the above formula holds also for τ or τ' being a 0-form (i.e. a function).

Exterior derivative

The exterior derivative satisfies the usual (graded) Leibniz rule, since it commutes with the Lie derivative:

$$d(f \star g) = df \star g + f \star dg \tag{A.8}$$

$$d(\tau \wedge_\star \tau') = d\tau \wedge_\star \tau' + (-1)^{deg(\tau)}\, \tau \wedge_\star d\tau' \tag{A.9}$$

Integration: graded cyclicity

If we consider an abelian twist (A.6) given by globally defined commuting vector fields X_A, then the usual integral is cyclic under the $\star$-exterior products of forms, i.e., up to boundary terms,

$$\int \tau \wedge_\star \tau' = (-1)^{deg(\tau)deg(\tau')} \int \tau' \wedge_\star \tau \tag{A.10}$$

with $deg(\tau) + deg(\tau') = \mathrm{D} =$ dimension of the spacetime manifold. In fact we have, up to boundary terms,

$$\int \tau \wedge_\star \tau' = \int \tau \wedge \tau' = (-1)^{deg(\tau)deg(\tau')} \int \tau' \wedge \tau = (-1)^{deg(\tau)deg(\tau')} \int \tau' \wedge_\star \tau \tag{A.11}$$

For example at first order in θ,

$$\int \tau \wedge_\star \tau' = \int \tau \wedge \tau' - \frac{i}{2}\theta^{AB} \int \mathcal{L}_{X_A}(\tau \wedge \mathcal{L}_{X_B}\tau') = \int \tau \wedge \tau' - \frac{i}{2}\theta^{AB} \int d i_{X_A}(\tau \wedge \mathcal{L}_{X_B}\tau') \tag{A.12}$$

where we used the Cartan formula $\mathcal{L}_{X_A} = d i_{X_A} + i_{X_A} d$.

Complex conjugation

If we choose real fields X_A in the definition of the twist (A.6), it is immediate to verify that:

$$(f \star g)^* = g^* \star f^* \tag{A.13}$$

$$(\tau \wedge_\star \tau')^* = (-1)^{deg(\tau)deg(\tau')} \tau'^* \wedge_\star \tau^* \tag{A.14}$$

since sending i into $-i$ in the twist (A.7) amounts to send θ^{AB} into $-\theta^{AB} = \theta^{BA}$, i.e. to exchange the order of the factors in the $\star$-product.

B Gamma matrices in $D = 4$

We summarize in this Appendix our gamma matrix conventions in $D = 4$.

$$\eta_{ab} = (1,-1,-1,-1), \quad \{\gamma_a, \gamma_b\} = 2\eta_{ab}, \quad [\gamma_a, \gamma_b] = 2\gamma_{ab}, \tag{B.1}$$

$$\gamma_5 \equiv i\gamma_0\gamma_1\gamma_2\gamma_3, \quad \gamma_5\gamma_5 = 1, \quad \varepsilon_{0123} = -\varepsilon^{0123} = 1, \tag{B.2}$$

$$\gamma_a^\dagger = \gamma_0\gamma_a\gamma_0, \quad \gamma_5^\dagger = \gamma_5 \tag{B.3}$$

$$\gamma_a^T = -C\gamma_a C^{-1}, \quad \gamma_5^T = C\gamma_5 C^{-1}, \quad C^2 = -1, \quad C^\dagger = C^T = -C \tag{B.4}$$

B.1 Useful identities

$$\gamma_a\gamma_b = \gamma_{ab} + \eta_{ab} \tag{B.5}$$

$$\gamma_{ab}\gamma_5 = \frac{i}{2}\epsilon_{abcd}\gamma^{cd} \tag{B.6}$$

$$\gamma_{ab}\gamma_c = \eta_{bc}\gamma_a - \eta_{ac}\gamma_b - i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \tag{B.7}$$

$$\gamma_c\gamma_{ab} = \eta_{ac}\gamma_b - \eta_{bc}\gamma_a - i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \tag{B.8}$$

$$\gamma_a\gamma_b\gamma_c = \eta_{ab}\gamma_c + \eta_{bc}\gamma_a - \eta_{ac}\gamma_b - i\varepsilon_{abcd}\gamma_5\gamma^d \tag{B.9}$$

$$\gamma^{ab}\gamma_{cd} = -i\varepsilon^{ab}{}_{cd}\gamma_5 - 4\delta^{[a}_{[c}\gamma^{b]}{}_{d]} - 2\delta^{ab}_{cd} \tag{B.10}$$

$$Tr(\gamma_a\gamma^{bc}\gamma_d) = 8\ \delta^{bc}_{ad} \tag{B.11}$$

$$Tr(\gamma_5\gamma_a\gamma_{bc}\gamma_d) = -4\ \varepsilon_{abcd} \tag{B.12}$$

$$Tr(\gamma^{rs}\gamma_a\gamma_{bc}\gamma_d) = 4(-2\delta^{rs}_{cd}\eta_{ab} + 2\delta^{rs}_{bd}\eta_{ac} - 3!\delta^{rse}_{abc}\eta_{ed}) \tag{B.13}$$

$$Tr(\gamma_5\gamma^{rs}\gamma_a\gamma_{bc}\gamma_d) = 4(-i\eta_{ab}\varepsilon^{rs}{}_{cd} + i\eta_{ac}\varepsilon^{rs}{}_{bd} + 2i\varepsilon_{abc}{}^{e}\delta^{rs}_{ed}) \tag{B.14}$$

where $\delta^{ab}_{cd} \equiv \frac{1}{2}(\delta^a_c\delta^b_d - \delta^b_c\delta^a_d)$, $\delta^{rse}_{abc} \equiv \frac{1}{3!}(\delta^r_a\delta^s_b\delta^e_c + 5 \text{ terms})$, and indices antisymmetrization in square brackets has total weight 1.

B.2 Charge conjugation and Majorana condition

$$\text{Dirac conjugate } \bar{\psi} \equiv \psi^\dagger \gamma_0 \tag{B.15}$$

$$\text{Charge conjugate spinor } \psi^C = C(\bar{\psi})^T \tag{B.16}$$

$$\text{Majorana spinor } \psi^C = \psi \quad \Rightarrow \bar{\psi} = \psi^T C \tag{B.17}$$

References

[1] N. Seiberg and E. Witten, *String theory and noncommutative geometry*, JHEP **9909**, 032 (1999) [hep-th/9908142].

[2] J. E. Moyal, *Quantum mechanics as a statistical theory*, Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **45** (1949) 99 ; H. Groenewold, Physica **12** (1946) 405.

[3] H. Weyl, “Quantum mechanics and group theory,” Z. Phys. **46**, 1 (1927).

[4] P. Aschieri and L. Castellani, “Noncommutative D=4 gravity coupled to fermions,” JHEP **0906** (2009) 086 [arXiv:0902.3817 [hep-th]].

[5] P. Aschieri and L. Castellani, “Noncommutative supergravity in D=3 and D=4,” JHEP **0906** (2009) 087 [arXiv:0902.3823 [hep-th]].

[6] J. Madore, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, J. Wess, “Gauge theory on noncommutative spaces,” Eur. Phys. J. **C16** (2000) 161-167. [hep-th/0001203].

[7] B. Jurco, L. Moller, S. Schraml, P. Schupp, J. Wess, “Construction of non-Abelian gauge theories on noncommutative spaces,” Eur. Phys. J. **C21** (2001) 383-388. [hep-th/0104153].

[8] P. Aschieri, B. Jurco, P. Schupp, J. Wess, “Noncommutative GUTs, standard model and C,P,T,” Nucl. Phys. **B651** (2003) 45-70. [hep-th/0205214].

[9] P. Aschieri and L. Castellani, “Noncommutative gravity coupled to fermions: second order expansion via Seiberg-Witten map,” arXiv:1111.4822 [hep-th].

[10] P. Aschieri, L. Castellani and M. Dimitrijevic, “Dynamical noncommutativity and Noether theorem in twisted $\phi^{\star 4}$ theory,” Lett. Math. Phys. **85** (2008) 39 [arXiv:0803.4325 [hep-th]].

[11] P. Aschieri and L. Castellani,“Vielbein gravity with dynamical noncommutativity”, to appear

[12] L. Castellani, R. D’Auria and P. Fré, “Supergravity and superstrings: A Geometric perspective,” Singapore, Singapore: World Scientific (1991)

[13] L. Castellani, “Group geometric methods in supergravity and superstring theories,” Int. J. Mod. Phys. A **7**, 1583 (1992).

[14] K. Ulker, B. Yapiskan, “Seiberg-Witten maps to all orders,” Phys. Rev. **D77** (2008) 065006. [arXiv:0712.0506 [hep-th]].

[15] P. Aschieri, C. Blohmann, M. Dimitrijević, F. Meyer, P. Schupp and J. Wess, *A Gravity Theory on Noncommutative Spaces*, Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 3511-3522 (2005), [hep-th/0504183];

[16] P. Aschieri, M. Dimitrijevic, P. Kulish, F. Lizzi, J. Wess, “Noncommutative Spacetimes”, Lecture Notes in Physics, vol. 774, Springer 2009.